



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/540,463

06/23/2005

Roger Griffiths

21.1068

2771

23718 7590 10/31/2007
SCHLUMBERGER OILFIELD SERVICES
200 GILLINGHAM LANE
MD 200-9
SUGAR LAND, TX 77478

EXAMINER

LE, TOAN M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2863

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/31/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/540,463	GRIFFITHS, ROGER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Toan M. Le	2863	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 August 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-8 and 10-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-8 and 10-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 June 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-8, and 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by “Real-Time LWD: Logging for Drilling”, Bargach et al. (referred hereafter Bargach et al.).

Referring to claim 1, Bargach et al. disclose a method of evaluating changes for a wellbore interval, comprising:

obtaining first log data acquired by a logging sensor (page 61, 1st col., Multidepth Vision: 1st paragraph) during a first pass over the wellbore interval (page 62, whole 3rd col.; Figure on page 62: “Track 1 shows elapsed time between bit penetration and resistivity measurement”; page 74, 1st col., last paragraph);

obtaining second log data at a time later than the first log data, said second log data being acquired by the logging sensor (page 61, 1st col., Multidepth Vision: 1st paragraph) during a second pass over the wellbore interval (page 62, whole 3rd col.; Figure on page 62: “Track 1 shows elapsed time between bit penetration and resistivity measurement”; page 74, 1st col., last paragraph);

Art Unit: 2863

calculating a plurality of delta values between the first log data and the second log data (Figure on page 61; Figure on page 62 showing Track 4 represents VISION resistivities (attenuation and phase shift); page 74, 1st col., last paragraph; page 78, 1st col., 1st paragraph);

deriving an observed effect using the plurality of the delta values (Figure on page 62 showing Track 4 represents VISION resistivities (attenuation and phase shift); page 78, 1st col., 1st paragraph); and

identifying a correlation between the observed effect and a causal event (Figure on page 68; page 77, 1st col., Recognizing and Preventing Problems: 1st, 2nd, and 3rd paragraphs); and

displaying the correlation on a display device so that changes for the wellbore interval can be evaluated (Figure 1 on page 72).

Referring to claim 3, Bargach et al. disclose a method of evaluating changes for a wellbore interval, wherein the logging sensor measures at least one parameter selected from the group consisting of gamma ray, resistivity, neutron porosity, density, ultrasonic caliper, and sigma (page 61, 1st col., Multidepth Vision: 1st paragraph).

As to claim 4, Bargach et al. disclose a method of evaluating changes for a wellbore interval, wherein the logging sensor is disposed on an integrated measurement tool (page 61, 1st col., Multidepth Vision: 1st and 2nd paragraphs to 2nd col. to 3rd col.).

Referring to claim 5, Bargach et al. disclose a method of evaluating changes for a wellbore interval, wherein the correlation is a depth correlation (Figure on page 68; page 74, 1st col., last paragraph).

Art Unit: 2863

As to claim 6, Bargach et al. disclose a method of evaluating changes for a wellbore interval, wherein the correlation is a time correlation (Figure on page 68; page 74, 1st col., last paragraph).

Referring to claim 7, Bargach et al. disclose a method of evaluating changes for a wellbore interval, further comprising:

calculating a relative effect using a sensitivity factor to adjust the correlation (Figure on page 68; page 74, 1st col., last paragraph); and

displaying the correlation and the relative effect on a display device (Figure on page 68; page 74, 1st col., last paragraph).

As to claim 8, Bargach et al. disclose a system for evaluating changes for a wellbore interval comprising:

a well log data acquisition system for acquiring first log data and second log data, at a time later than said first log data, from a logging sensor during a plurality of passes over the wellbore interval (page 61, 1st col., Multidepth Vision: 1st and 2nd paragraphs to 2nd col. to 3rd col.); and

a well log data processing system for:

calculating a plurality of delta values between the first log data and the second log data (Figure on page 61; Figure on page 62 showing Track 4 represents VISION resistivities (attenuation and phase shift); page 74, 1st col., last paragraph; page 78, 1st col., 1st paragraph);

deriving an observed effect using the plurality of the delta values (Figure on page 62 showing Track 4 represents VISION resistivities (attenuation and phase shift); page 78, 1st col., 1st paragraph); and

Art Unit: 2863

identifying a correlation between the observed effect and a causal event (Figure on page 68; page 77, 1st col., Recognizing and Preventing Problems: 1st, 2nd, and 3rd paragraphs); and displaying the correlation on a display device so that changes for the wellbore interval can be evaluated (Figure 1 on page 72).

As to claim 10, Bargach et al. disclose a system for evaluating changes for a wellbore interval, wherein the logging sensor measures at least one parameter selected from the group consisting of gamma ray, resistivity, neutron porosity, density, ultrasonic caliper, and sigma (page 61, 1st col., Multidepth Vision: 1st paragraph).

Referring to claim 11, Bargach et al. disclose a system for evaluating changes for a wellbore interval, wherein the logging sensor is disposed on an integrated measurement tool (page 61, 1st col., Multidepth Vision: 1st and 2nd paragraphs to 2nd col. to 3rd col.).

As to claim 12, Bargach et al. disclose a system for evaluating changes for a wellbore interval, wherein the correlation is a depth correlation (Figure on page 68; page 74, 1st col., last paragraph).

Referring to claim 13, Bargach et al. disclose a system for evaluating changes for a wellbore interval, wherein the correlation is a time correlation (Figure on page 68; page 74, 1st col., last paragraph).

As to claim 14, Bargach et al. disclose a system for evaluating changes for a wellbore interval, further comprising a well log data processing system for calculating a relative effect using a sensitivity factor to adjust the correlation (Figure on page 68; page 74, 1st col., last paragraph); and displaying the correlation and the relative effect on a display device (Figure on page 68; page 74, 1st col., last paragraph).

Art Unit: 2863

Referring to claim 15, Bargach et al. disclose a computer system for evaluating changes for a wellbore interval, comprising:

a processor;

a memory;

a storage device;

a computer display; and

software instructions stored in the memory for enabling the computer system under control of the processor (page 61, 1st col., Multidepth Vision: 1st and 2nd paragraphs to 2nd col. to 3rd col.), to perform:

gathering first log data from a logging sensor during a first pass over the wellbore interval (page 62, whole 3rd col.; Figure on page 62: "Track 1 shows elapsed time between bit penetration and resistivity measurement"; page 74, 1st col., last paragraph);

gathering second log data, at a time later than said first log data, from the logging sensor during a second pass over the wellbore interval (page 62, whole 3rd col.; Figure on page 62: "Track 1 shows elapsed time between bit penetration and resistivity measurement"; page 74, 1st col., last paragraph);

calculating a plurality of delta values between the first log data and the second log data (Figure on page 61; Figure on page 62 showing Track 4 represents VISION resistivities (attenuation and phase shift); page 74, 1st col., last paragraph; page 78, 1st col., 1st paragraph);

deriving an observed effect using the plurality of the delta values (Figure on page 62 showing Track 4 represents VISION resistivities (attenuation and phase shift); page 78, 1st col., 1st paragraph);

Art Unit: 2863

identifying a correlation between the observed effect and a causal event (Figure on page 68; page 77, 1st col., Recognizing and Preventing Problems: 1st, 2nd, and 3rd paragraphs); and displaying the correlation on a display device so that changes for the wellbore interval can be evaluated (Figure 1 on page 72).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-8, and 10-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan M. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-2276. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,463

Page 8

Art Unit: 2863

Toan Le

October 16, 2007

/Michael P. Nghiem/
Primary Examiner, GAU 2863

Application/Control Number: 10/540,463
Art Unit: 2863

Page 8

Toan Le

October 16, 2007



MICHAEL NGHIEM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/18/07

/Michael P. Nghiem/
Primary Examiner, GAU 2863